

Performance Evaluation of Computer Systems and Networks

Multiprogrammed Server - Project 11 -

Mauro Abozzi Stefano Cicero Matteo Luciani Brunini Simone Tavoletta

MSc degree in Computer Engineering a.a. 2016-2017



System Overview

Multiprogrammed server which manages N different clients





System Overview

After processing has occured with probability:

- . p1 → Transaction terminated
- . p2 \rightarrow disk access is required
- . p3 = 1-p1-p2 → remote query is issued





OMNeT++ Model

Queuing_Network





Experiment Design

Scenarios (service time mean in seconds)

- 1. Processor = Disk = Query \rightarrow (0.1 = 0.1 = 0.1)
- 2. Processor << Disk << Query \rightarrow (0.01 << 0.1 << 1.0)
- 3. Query << Processor << Disk \rightarrow (0.01 << 0.1 << 1.0)
- 4. Disk << Query << Processor \rightarrow (0.01 << 0.1 << 1.0)

Cases

- A. p1=70%, p2=20%, p3=10%
- B. p1=10%, p2=20%, p3=70%
- C. p1=10%, p2=70%, p3=20%
- D. p1=33%, p2=33%, p3=34%



Experiment Design

Warm-up estimation:

 $N = N_{max} = 20$ clients

Scenario 4 Case C (worst case)

Warm-up = 800s Simulation-time = 4800s





Performance Analysis

Throughput

 $1-\alpha = 0.99$ n = 10Case A - p1=70% p2=20% p3=10%





#Clients



Performance Analysis

Response Time

 $1-\alpha = 0.99$ n = 10Case A - p1=70% p2=20% p3=10%







Performance Analysis

Utilization rate

$$1-\alpha = 0.99$$
 $n = 10$
Case A - p1=70% p2=20% p3=10%





Conclusion

- Scenario 1 shows better performance compared to all the others because the system is well balanced and the service time means are small enough
- ✓ Scenario 1 represents all the cases in which the three components have the same order of magnitude with respect to the service time means
- If probabilities are unknown, to get an optimal performance, select the speed of the devices by looking at the quickest one
- ✓ Otherwise, update the bottleneck and put also the cpu to the same speed
- ✓ Generally, the processor must not be slower than the other components